

RPB STEIN INNOVATION AWARD

2024 Guidelines and Instructions

DEADLINES*

Researchers within Ophthalmology Department:

Nomination Form: December 15, 2023, for January 2024 deadline

Application: January 10, 2024 (awarded in June)

Researchers outside Ophthalmology Department:

Nomination Form: June 15, 2024, for July 2024 deadline Application: July 1, 2024 (awarded in December)

*If the deadline falls on a weekend or holiday, please consider the deadline to be the following business day.

DESCRIPTION

RPB Stein Innovation Award: \$300,000, payable in two (2) payments, \$150,000 per payment with the second payment contingent upon approval of a 14-month substantive progress report.

The RPB Stein Innovation (SI) Awards provide funds to vision researchers with a goal of understanding the visual system and the diseases that compromise its function. These SI Awards are intended to provide seed money to proposed high-risk/high-gain vision science research, which is innovative, cutting-edge, and demonstrates out-of-the-box thinking:

- For the January deadline, Department of Ophthalmology faculty who are performing innovative vision research may apply for the SI Awards. Candidates may be from any institution of higher education in the U.S.
- For the July deadline, scientists outside the Department of Ophthalmology who are actively engaged in innovative vision research may apply for the SI Awards. Candidates may be from any institution of higher education in the U.S.

The SI Awards are **NOT** to serve as venture philanthropy for start-up companies. The application should also not be a natural extension of the candidate's research progress to date; it should catalyze a new line of inquiry or otherwise show a departure from previously funded research. The proposed research for the SI application should provide a clear and rational research plan, compelling preliminary data, and careful consideration of pitfalls, which should be addressed in the candidate's scientific statement. Additionally, the SI application should be substantially different than an "R01-type" research endeavor. The proposed research should be something federal funders are not apt to finance due to its unconventional and novel reasoning. Emphasis will be placed on the innovativeness of the proposed research and how it differs from the current work of the candidate.

Examples of successfully funded SI Awards include the following:

Gabriel H. Travis, MD, Professor, Ophthalmology and Professor, Biological Chemistry, UCLA Stein Innovation Award / Inside Ophthalmology, 2021

Dr. Travis directs a research group that studies retinoid metabolism in photoreceptor cells and the mechanisms of inherited blinding diseases. His Stein Innovation Award will allow him to create a new zebrafish model for Stargardt disease (the most commonly inherited single gene retinal disease) in order to assess the role of the gene's encoded protein on photoreceptor function, which is essential for sight. The work represents a significant departure in Dr. Travis' previous line of work which used mouse models. This application showcased creative thinking, a clear hypothesis, and the use of new tools to achieve study goals.

Chao Zhou, PhD, Associate Professor, Biomedical Engineering, Washington University in St. Louis Stein Innovation Award / Outside Ophthalmology, 2020

Dr. Zhou's lab develops novel optical imaging technologies for biomedical applications, including cancer research, developmental biology, and tissue engineering. His Stein Innovation Award will enable him to develop and validate a cutting-edge, parallel imaging, hand-held optical coherence tomography (OCT) system, specifically for use in children, who often have trouble staying still and fixating for OCT imaging. This project represents a new OCT application that uses the space-division multiplexing technique, which was invented by Dr. Zhou, allowing parallel OCT imaging at multiple locations in order to significantly increase imaging speed.

The proposed research cannot be funded – previously or currently – by others (NEI, NIH, nonprofits, private funders, etc.).

Awardees will be required to submit a substantive progress report after 14 months. This report will be competitively reviewed, and the final payment is contingent upon approval of this report.

Awardees will be required to expend the award within three (3) years if granted the full \$300,000. Otherwise, the awardee is required to expend the initial \$150,000 award payment within two (2) years.

Grant disbursement will be made electronically. IRB approval, if applicable, will be required before funds are disbursed. Grant disbursement of first \$150,000 is contingent upon the execution of RPB's Letter of Agreement. The final disbursement of \$150,000 is contingent upon continuation of the executed Letter of Agreement AND approval of substantive progress report.

All RPB grants must remain free of institutional overhead and indirect costs. The Stein Innovation Award *may* be transferable to another research institution. Transfer of the grant is at the discretion of RPB and its Board of Trustees.

ELIGIBILITY

For January deadline:

Ophthalmology Chairs (including interim or acting Chairs) from any institution of higher education in the U.S. may nominate multiple candidates. Candidates must hold a primary academic position as Associate Professor through full Professor (MD, PhD, MD/PhD or equivalent doctoral degree) in ophthalmology. Ophthalmology Chairs are not eligible for this award.

For July deadline:

Department Chairs (including interim or acting Chairs) from any institution of higher education in the U.S. may nominate multiple candidates per department. Candidates must hold a primary academic position as Associate Professor through full Professor (MD, PhD, MD/PhD or equivalent doctoral degree) with a primary appointment in a basic science or other relevant department. If possible, RPB encourages candidates to collaborate with researchers in departments of ophthalmology.

For both deadlines:

- > Candidates must be full-time faculty in their primary appointment department by the appropriate application deadline.
- Candidates must provide not less than 5% effort for the proposed research. Greater percent of effort is highly desirable.
- > Proposed research cannot be funded previously or currently by others (NEI, NIH, nonprofits, private funders, etc.).
- Candidates declined for this award must wait two years before re-applying for the SI Award.
- Any previous RPB individual grants must be fully spent and recognized as *terminated* by RPB before an individual researcher may apply for another RPB grant. This only applies to the candidate and not to the mentors and/or collaborators.
- Though multiple candidates can be nominated from one department, only one award per department can be approved.

ELIGIBILITY continued For both deadlines:

- Previous recipients of the Stein Innovation Award are eligible to reapply for the award if:
 - The recipient received the entire award amount (\$300,000).
 - o It has been five years since the award was **expended**.
 - The proposed research must be completely different than the previously funded Stein Innovation Award and must adhere to the general Stein Innovation Award guidelines as being cutting edge, out of the box thinking and not previously funded.

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

All reporting requirements relate to research conducted with the Stein Innovation Award:

- Summary report (annually, due each November the award is active).
- Bibliography (annually, due each January).
- > Photo (one-time, due first January the award is active).
- Financial report (annually, due each January the award is active).
- Substantive 14-month progress report due:
 - September 1, 2025, for applications submitted January 2024.
 - o March 1, 2026, for applications submitted July 2024.
- Final report (one-time, due six months after the award is expended).

If awarded, information on fulfilling the above requirements will be sent under separate cover. Failure to properly report and credit research funded by RPB places the grant at risk of termination.

NOMINATION FORM AND APPLICATION SUBMISSION

Nomination Form: Nomination forms are a requirement. For the January 10 application deadline, nomination forms are due no later than December 15; for the July 1 application deadline, nomination forms are due no later than June 15. Applications received without a prior nomination form will not be accepted. Complete the nomination form and email to Pattie Moran at pmoran@rpbusa.org. Nomination forms should be sent as Word documents only.

Application: RPB will accept applications and supporting documentation via the password-protected page on RPB's website that has been established for application submission. Please note only one (1) upload action, with application and all applicable supporting documentation, will be accepted per candidate. This upload must be received **no later than 11:59pm ET on the deadline date.**

- ➤ Go to www.rpbusa.org to log in.
- Enter the password: Research (not case sensitive)
- Click on the "Upload Grant Application" button to go to the upload form.
- Once you are on the upload form, enter your email address in the "From email" field.
- > Upload your application and supporting documentation by browsing for them on your computer using the "Browse" button on the form.
- Use the Message box to add additional information, if any.
- > Before clicking "SendThisFile" to send your submission, check that the information is complete.
- Click the "SendThisFile" button to send your application and supporting documentation.

DOCUMENTATION SUBMISSION

The single upload action must include **only** three (3) separate documents:

- 1. Signed, completed application form, in PDF.
- 2. Signed, completed application form, in Word.
- 3. Supporting documentation: As a single PDF (not a PDF portfolio), the third document must include the below documents **in this order**:
 - a. The candidate's NIH-style biosketch; limit five (5) pages. The candidate must adhere to current NIH format and instructions.
 - b. The primary appointment department Chair's statement of the candidate's scientific expertise. Describe the candidate's current or proposed ophthalmic research objectives, the clinical relevance of these research activities, his/her record of accomplishments, and any aspirations which may lead to future ophthalmic achievement. Statement must be on letterhead, signed, and addressed to "RPB Review Committees." Limit to three (3) pages and font size 10-point or higher. If the candidate for the Stein Innovation Award (outside ophthalmology) is the department Chair, this statement is required from the Dean of the appropriate school which oversees the department. Ophthalmology Chairs are not eligible for this award.
 - c. The candidate's detailed scientific statement, outlining the research and objectives to be pursued. Limit statement to four (4) pages with references included on a fifth page. Entire statement should not exceed five (5) pages. Font size 10-point or higher.
 - d. Line-item budget on use of funding, include approximate dollar amounts and descriptions. Include timeline for expenditure of funds. Also, provide a statement of the candidate's role in this specific research project. Limit two (2) pages.
 - e. If collaborating with others, list each collaborator and describe his/her role in the proposed research. Limit document to two (2) pages. Font size 10-point or higher.
 - f. If collaborating with others, each collaborator must submit a letter of support and commitment to the proposed research. Statement must be on letterhead, signed, and addressed to "RPB Review Committees."
 - g. Evidence of IRB review, if applicable. (If application is approved, payment will not be made until an IRB, if applicable, is approved.)
 - h. Institution's IRS 501c(3) Letter of Determination or other Federal determination letter and Federal Employment Identification Number.

Materials received after the deadline will not be accepted and will render the submission as incomplete. Incomplete submissions are not forwarded to RPB's review committees and are automatically declined. If the deadline falls on a weekend or holiday, proposals will be accepted the first following business day. Do not alter application format. Do not include manuscripts, reprints, or any information not required by RPB. **Notify RPB if there are any changes to the status of major pending grants after the application has been submitted**.

Granting of awards is at the full discretion of RPB; we reserve the right not to make any award, based on the submissions.

Any questions, please contact Pattie Moran at 646-892-9566 or pmoran@rpbusa.org.

APPLICATION PREPARATION

We've included information below to assist you in preparing and completing RPB's Stein Innovation Award Application.

Do not change formatting. Please keep pagination the same. If necessary, abbreviate answers to fit allotted space. Please provide responses in Arial 9 (font/size). Do not change the font/size of the actual questions.

Candidate Information:

- Enter Candidate's name; include degrees.
- > OPTIONAL: RPB is committed to diversity and, if relevant, encourages applicants to self-identify as a racial / ethnic underrepresented minority as defined by the NIH. Please indicate the one box that describes the race/ethnicity category with which you primarily identify, if applicable. This information is voluntary.
- Enter institution name.
- Enter Candidate's current primary and secondary (if applicable) appointment(s), including academic title(s) and department(s).
- Enter primary appointment Chair's name, including degrees and department.

Specialty Field of Proposed Research:

Place X to the right of your selection for the following (see example below):

Cell Biology/Development X Biochemistry/Molecular Biology Systems Biology/Genetics

Title of Proposed Research:

> Enter title of proposed research. Do not go beyond allotted space.

Summary of Overall Objectives/Significance/Benefits of Proposed Research:

- Limit response to nine (9) lines or less. Can expand in scientific statement.
- In layman's terms, summarize overall objectives, significance, benefits of the proposed research. Do not duplicate biosketch information, awards, pubs, etc., or Chair or candidate statements.

Innovation and Impact:

- ➤ Limit response to nine (9) lines or less. Can expand in scientific statement.
- In layman's terms, explain why the proposed research is new and innovative and what its potential impact on vision and ophthalmology might be. Do not duplicate biosketch information, awards, pubs, etc., or Chair or candidate statements.

Proposed Research Differs from Current Work:

- > Limit response to nine (9) lines or less. Can expand in scientific statement.
- In layman's terms, specifically explain how the proposed research differs from the candidate's current work/research. Do not duplicate biosketch information, awards, pubs, etc., or Chair or candidate statements.

Percentage of Effort:

Indicate the percentage of effort for the proposed research. Minimum 5% effort required.

For RPB Use Only:

> This section is for RPB Use Only. **DO NOT ENTER ANY INFORMATION.**

Current NEI Support as Principal Investigator (PI) or Multiple PI:

- ▶ Indicate no-cost extensions with an asterisk (*), e.g., 23-27*.
- Specify Source.
- Specify Type, e.g., K08, R01, R21, etc.
- Enter Annual Direct Costs and Total Grant Amount (include direct and indirect costs in Total Grant Amount). Total Grant Amount should reflect the entire grant period.
- Enter Years as YY-YY. Provide actual years of grant, e.g., 23-27, not total number of years, e.g., 4.

Current NIH Support (other than NEI) as Principal Investigator (PI) or Multiple PI:

- Indicate no-cost extensions with an asterisk (*), e.g., 23-27*.
- Specify Source.
- Specify Type, e.g., K05, K21, R55, etc.
- Enter Annual Direct Costs and Total Grant Amount (include direct and indirect costs in Total Grant Amount). Total Grant Amount should reflect the entire grant period.
- Enter Years as YY-YY. Provide actual years of grant, e.g., 23-27, not total number of years, e.g., 4.

NIH Support as Principal Investigator (PI) or Multiple PI Terminated Within Past Three Years:

- Include all NIH support.
- Specify Source.
- Specify Type, e.g., K08, R01, R21, etc.
- > Enter Total Grant Amount (include direct and indirect costs). Total Grant Amount should reflect the entire grant period.
- Enter Years as YY-YY. Provide actual years of grant, e.g., 21-23, not total number of years, e.g., 2.

Current Grant Support (other than NIH and NEI) as Principal Investigator (PI) or Multiple PI:

- ▶ Indicate no-cost extensions with an asterisk (*), e.g., 23-27*.
- Specify Source.
- Specify Type, if applicable.
- Enter Annual Direct Costs and Total Grant Amount (include direct and indirect costs in Total Grant Amount). Total Grant Amount should reflect the entire grant period.
- ► Enter Years as YY-YY. Provide actual years of grant, e.g., 23-27, not total number of years, e.g., 4.

Pending Grant/Renewal Support (all) as Principal Investigator (PI) or Multiple PI:

- Notify RPB of any status change to this listing after submitting application.
- Specify Source.
- Specify Type, e.g., K08, R01, R21, etc.
- Enter Total Grant Amount (include direct and indirect costs). Total Grant Amount should reflect the entire grant period.
- Enter Years as YY-YY. Provide actual years of grant, e.g., 24-26, not total number of years, e.g., 2.

Current Time Commitment:

- Include time commitment percentage to each responsibility.
- If applicable, specify Other responsibilities such as administrative, volunteer efforts, etc.
- Must total 100%.

Anticipated Use of RPB Funding:

- Include percentage and description of grant use. Use brief descriptions, e.g., salary supplement for PI; partial salary for assistants; equipment; consumables; etc.
- Must total 100%.

IRB Approval:

- > Indicate if the Candidate is working with human subjects.
- If applicable, indicate if the Candidate has secured IRB approval.

Overlap:

- In relation to the proposed research in this application, indicate Yes or No to any overlap issues with current and/or pending awards/grants:
- > Scientific: Scientific overlap occurs when substantially the same research is proposed in more than one application; or is submitted to two or more different funding sources for review and funding consideration; or a specific research objective and the experimental design for accomplishing that objective are the same or closely related in two or more pending applications or awards, regardless of funding source.
- **Budget:** Budgetary overlap occurs when duplicate or equivalent budgetary items (e.g., equipment, salary) are requested in an application but are already funded by another source.
- **Commitment**: Commitment overlap occurs when any project-supported personnel have time commitments (i.e., percent effort) exceeding 100%, regardless of how the effort/salary is being supported or funded.
- If Yes for any of the above, explain the overlap issues in the space provided.

Distinguish Current and/or Pending Funding:

- Though no overlap may be noted above, please distinguish the proposed research in this application with any current and/or pending awards/grants which the reviewers could consider as similar.
- If applicable, explain in the space provided.

Publications:

- Candidate's Publication History: Provide number of peer-reviewed publications; number of other publications; and the total number of publications in the candidate's career (number of peer-reviewed and other publications should equal total number of publications).
- Candidate's Publications Pertaining to Proposed Research: Provide number of peer-reviewed publications; number of other publications; and the total number of publications pertaining to proposed research (number of peer-reviewed and other publications should equal total number of publications). Indicate number of publications pertaining to proposed research listed in candidate's biosketch.

Agreement:

- Chair and Candidate to sign.
- If the Candidate is the department Chair, the Dean of the appropriate school which oversees the department must sign the application on the Chair signature line.

Contact Information:

- > Enter contact information for Candidate; include degrees.
- Enter contact information for primary appointment Chair; include degrees.
- Enter contact information of department's Research Grant Administrator; include degrees.

NIH.	IYT2.	F	RIOS	KFTC	H F	DRMA'	Τ ΔΝΓ) SAI	MPI	F
14111-	·									_

BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Provide the following information for the Senior/key personnel and other significant contributors. Follow this format for each person. **DO NOT EXCEED FIVE PAGES.**

NAME:			
eRA COMMONS USER NAME (credential, e.g., age	ncy login):		
POSITION TITLE:			
EDUCATION/TRAINING (Begin with baccalaureate of include postdoctoral training and residency training it	•		
INSTITUTION AND LOCATION	DEGREE (if applicable)	Completion Date MM/YYYY	FIELD OF STUDY

A. Personal Statement

Briefly describe why you are well-suited for your role(s) in this project. Relevant factors may include: aspects of your training; your previous experimental work on this specific topic or related topics; your technical expertise; your collaborators or scientific environment; and/or your past performance in this or related fields, including ongoing and completed research projects from the past three years that you want to draw attention to (previously captured under Section D. Research Support).

You may cite up to four publications or research products that highlight your experience and qualifications for this project. Research products can include, but are not limited to, audio or video products; conference proceedings such as meeting abstracts, posters, or other presentations; patents; data and research materials; databases; educational aids or curricula; instruments or equipment; models; protocols; and software or netware. Use of hyperlinks and URLs to cite these items is not allowed.

You are allowed to cite interim research products. **Note:** interim research products have specific citation requirements.

Note the following additional instructions for ALL applicants/candidates:

- If you wish to explain factors that affected your past productivity, such as family care responsibilities, illness, disability, or military service, you may address them in this "A. Personal Statement" section.
- Indicate whether you have published or created research products under another name.
- You may mention specific contributions to science that are not included in Section C. Do not present or expand on materials that should be described in other sections of this Biosketch or application.
- Figures, tables, or graphics are not allowed.

B. Positions, Scientific Appointments, and Honors

List in reverse chronological order all current positions and scientific appointments both domestic and foreign, including affiliations with foreign entities or governments. This includes titled academic, professional, or institutional appointments whether or not remuneration is received, and whether full-time, part-time, or voluntary (including adjunct, visiting, or honorary). High school students and undergraduates may include any previous positions. For individuals who are not currently located at the applicant organization, include the expected position at the applicant organization and the expected start date.

List any relevant academic and professional achievements and honors. In particular:

- Students, postdoctorates, and junior faculty should include scholarships, traineeships, fellowships, and development awards, as applicable.
- Clinicians should include information on any clinical licensures and specialty board certifications that they have achieved.

C. Contributions to Science

Who should complete the "Contributions to Science" section:

All senior/key persons should complete the "Contributions to Science" section except candidates for research supplements to promote diversity in health-related research who are high school students, undergraduates, and post-baccalaureates.

Format:

Briefly describe up to five of your most significant contributions to science. The description of each contribution should be no longer than one half page, including citations.

While all applicants may describe up to five contributions, graduate students and postdoctorates may wish to consider highlighting two or three they consider most significant.

Content:

For each contribution, indicate the following:

- The historical background that frames the scientific problem;
- The central finding(s);
- The influence of the finding(s) on the progress of science or the application of those finding(s) to health or technology; and
- Your specific role in the described work.
- Figures, tables, or graphics are not allowed.

For each contribution, you may cite up to four publications or research products that are relevant to the contribution. If you are not the author of the product, indicate what your role or contribution was. Note that while you may mention manuscripts that have not yet been accepted for publication as part of your contribution, you may cite only published papers to support each contribution. Research products can include audio or video products; conference proceedings such as meeting abstracts, posters, or other presentations; patents; data and research materials; databases; educational aids or curricula; instruments or equipment; models; protocols; and software or netware. Use of hyperlinks and URLs to cite these items is not allowed.

You are allowed to cite interim research products. Note: interim research products have specific citation requirements.

You may provide a hyperlinked URL to a full list of your published work. This hyperlinked URL must be to a Federal Government website (a .gov suffix). NIH recommends using *My Bibliography*. Providing a URL to a list of published work is not required.

Descriptions of contributions may include a mention of research products under development, such as manuscripts that have not yet been accepted for publication. These contributions do not have to be related to the project proposed in this application.

BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Provide the following information for the Senior/key personnel and other significant contributors. Follow this format for each person. **DO NOT EXCEED FIVE PAGES.**

NAME: Hunt, Morgan Casey

eRA COMMONS USER NAME (credential, e.g., agency login): huntmc1

POSITION TITLE: Associate Professor of Psychology

EDUCATION/TRAINING (Begin with baccalaureate or other initial professional education, such as nursing, include postdoctoral training and residency training if applicable. Add/delete rows as necessary.)

INSTITUTION AND LOCATION	DEGREE (if applicable)	Completion Date MM/YYYY	FIELD OF STUDY
University of California, Berkeley	BS	05/2003	Psychology
University of Vermont	PHD	05/2009	Experimental Psychology
University of California, Berkeley	Postdoctoral	08/2013	Public Health and Epidemiology

A. Personal Statement

I am an Associate Professor of Psychology, and my research is focused on neuropsychological changes associated with substance use disorders. I have a broad background in psychology, with specific training and expertise in ethnographic and survey research and secondary data analysis on psychological aspects of substance use disorders. As PI or co-Investigator on several university- and NIH-funded grants, I laid the groundwork for the proposed research by developing effective measures of disability, depression, and other psychosocial factors relevant to older people with substance use disorders, and by establishing strong ties with community providers that will make it possible to recruit and track participants over time as documented in the following publications. In addition, I successfully administered the projects (e.g. staffing, research protections, budget), collaborated with other researchers, and produced several peer-reviewed publications from each project. As a result of these previous experiences, I am aware of the importance of frequent communication among project members and of constructing a realistic research plan, timeline, and budget. The current application builds logically on my prior work. During 2015-2016, my career was disrupted due to family obligations. However, upon returning to the field, I immediately resumed my research projects and collaborations and successfully competed for NIH support. In summary, I have the expertise, leadership, training, expertise, and motivation necessary to successfully carry out the proposed research project.

Ongoing and recently completed projects that I would like to highlight include:

R01 DA942367 Hunt (PI) 09/01/16-08/31/21

Health trajectories and behavioral interventions among older people with substance use disorders

R01 MH922731

Merryle (PI), Role: co-investigator

12/15/17-11/30/22

Physical disability, depression, and substance use among older adults

R21 AA998075 Hunt (PI) 01/01/19-12/31/21 Community-based intervention for alcohol abuse

Citations:

- 1. Merryle, R.J. & **Hunt, M.C.** (2015). Independent living, physical disability and substance use among older adults. Psychology and Aging, 23(4), 10-22.
- 2. **Hunt, M.C.**, Jensen, J.L. & Crenshaw, W. (2018). Substance use and mental health among community-dwelling older adults. International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry, 24(9), 1124-1135.
- 3. **Hunt, M.C.**, Wiechelt, S.A. & Merryle, R. (2019). Predicting the substance use treatment needs of an aging population. American Journal of Public Health, 45(2), 236-245. PMCID: PMC9162292
- 4. Merryle, R. & **Hunt, M.C.** (2020). Randomized clinical trial of cotinine in older people with nicotine use disorder. Age and Aging, 38(2), 9-23. PMCID: PMC9002364

B. Positions, Scientific Appointments, and Honors

Positions and Scientific Appointments

2021- Present	Associate Professor, Department of Psychology, Washington University, St. Louis, MO
2020 – Present	Adjunct Professor, McGill University Department of Psychology, Montreal, Quebec, Canada
2018 – Present	NIH Risk, Adult Substance Use Disorder Study Section, member
2015 – 2017	Consultant, Coastal Psychological Services, San Francisco, CA
2014 – 2021	Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Washington University, St. Louis, MO
2014 – 2015	NIH Peer Review Committee: Psychobiology of Aging, ad hoc reviewer
2014 - Present	Board of Advisors, Senior Services of Eastern Missouri
2013 – 2014	Lecturer, Department of Psychology, Middlebury College, Middlebury, VT
2011 – Present	Associate Editor, Psychology and Aging
2009 - Present	Member, American Geriatrics Society
2009 – Present	Member, Gerontological Society of America
2009 – 2013	Fellow, Intramural Research Program, National Institute on Drug Abuse, Baltimore, MD
2006 - Present	Member, American Psychological Association
Honors	
2020	Award for Best in Interdisciplinary Ethnography, International Ethnographic Society
2019	Excellence in Teaching, Washington University, St. Louis, MO
2018	Outstanding Young Faculty Award, Washington University, St. Louis, MO

C. Contributions to Science

1. My early publications directly addressed the fact that substance use is often overlooked in older adults. However, because many older adults were raised during an era of increased drug and alcohol use, there are reasons to believe that this will become an increasing issue as the population ages. These publications found that older adults appear in a variety of primary care settings or seek mental health providers to deal with emerging concerns about a substance use disorder. These publications document this emerging concern and guide primary care providers and geriatric mental health providers to recognize symptoms, assess the nature of the behavior, and apply the necessary interventions. By providing evidence and simple clinical approaches, this body of work has changed the standards of care for older adults with substance use disorders and will continue to provide assistance in relevant medical settings well into the future. I served as the primary investigator or co-investigator in all of these studies.

- a. Gryczynski, J., Shaft, B.M., Merryle, R., & **Hunt, M.C.** (2013). Community based participatory research with late-life substance use disorder. American Journal of Alcohol and Drug Abuse, 15(3), 222-238
- b. Shaft, B.M., **Hunt, M.C.**, Merryle, R., & Venturi, R. (2014). Policy implications of genetic transmission of alcohol and drug use in women who do not use drugs. International Journal of Drug Policy, 30(5), 46-58.
- c. **Hunt, M.C.**, Marks, A.E., Shaft, B.M., Merryle, R., & Jensen, J.L. (2015). Early-life family and community characteristics and late-life substance use. Journal of Applied Gerontology, 28(2),26-37.
- d. **Hunt, M.C.**, Marks, A.E., Venturi, R., Crenshaw, W. & Ratonian, A. (2018). Community-based intervention strategies for reducing alcohol and drug use in older adults. Addiction, 104(9), 1436-1606. PMCID: PMC9000292
- 2. In addition to the contributions described above, with a team of collaborators, I directly documented the effectiveness of various intervention models for older people with substance use disorders and demonstrated the importance of social support networks. These studies emphasized contextual factors in the etiology and maintenance of substance use disorders and the disruptive potential of networks in substance use treatment. This body of work also discusses the prevalence of alcohol and amphetamine use in older adults and how networking approaches can be used to mitigate the effects of these disorders.
 - a. **Hunt, M.C.**, Merryle, R. & Jensen, J.L. (2015). The effect of social support networks on morbidity among older adults with substance use disorders. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 57(4), 15-23.
 - b. **Hunt, M.C.**, Pour, B., Marks, A.E., Merryle, R. & Jensen, J.L. (2018). Aging out of methadone treatment. American Journal of Alcohol and Drug Abuse, 15(6), 134-149.
 - c. Merryle, R. & **Hunt, M.C.** (2020). Randomized clinical trial of cotinine in older people with nicotine use disorders. Age and Ageing, 38(2), 9-23. PMCID: PMC9002364
- 3. Methadone maintenance has been used to treat people with substance use disorder for many years, but I led research that has shown that over the long-term, those in methadone treatment view themselves negatively and they gradually begin to view treatment as an intrusion into normal life. Older adults were shown, in carefully constructed ethnographic studies, to be especially responsive to tailored social support networks that allow them to eventually reduce their maintenance doses and move into other forms of therapy. These studies also demonstrate the policy and commercial implications associated with these findings.
 - a. **Hunt, M.C.** & Jensen, J.L. (2013). Morbidity among older adults with substance use disorders. Journal of the Geriatrics, 60(4), 45-61.
 - b. **Hunt, M.C.** & Pour, B. (2015). Methadone treatment and personal assessment. Journal Drug Abuse, 45(5), 15-26.
 - c. Merryle, R. & **Hunt**, **M.C.** (2018). The use of various nicotine delivery systems by older people with nicotine use disorder. Journal of Aging, 54(1), 24-41. PMCID: PMC9112304
 - d. **Hunt, M.C.**, Jensen, J.L. & Merryle, R. (2020). Aging and substance use disorder: ethnographic profiles of older people with substance use disorder. NY, NY: W. W. Norton & Company.

Complete List of Published Work in MyBibliography:

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/myncbi/1lCifFFV4VYQZE/bibliography/public/